

Review

Nano Bioceramics: A New Era in Biomedical Science

Arpita Singh^{1*}, Dr. Arpita Singh², Dr. Rahul P. K. Mishra³

¹Research Scholar- Seth Vishambhar Nath Institute of Pharmacy, Deva Road Lucknow, Khazoor Gaon, Uttar Pradesh, India

²Director- Seth Vishambhar Nath Institute of Pharmacy, Deva Road Lucknow, Khazoor Gaon, Uttar Pradesh, India

³Professor- Seth Vishambhar Nath Institute of Pharmacy, Deva Road Lucknow, Khazoor Gaon, Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author:

Arpita Singh

Email:

arpitasingh181997@gmail.com

DOI: 10.62896/ijpdd.2.6.04

Conflict of interest: NIL

Article History

Received: 12/05/2025

Accepted: 04/06/2025

Published: 11/06/2025

Abstract:

Nano bioceramic materials have emerged as a transformative class of biomaterials, offering enhanced mechanical properties, bioactivity, and biocompatibility. Their nanoscale features facilitate improved interactions with biological systems, making them ideal for applications in bone regeneration, dental implants, and targeted drug delivery. This review delves into the synthesis methods, properties, and biomedical applications of nano bioceramics, highlighting their advantages over traditional bioceramics. Despite their promising potential, challenges such as long-term stability, scalability of production, and comprehensive understanding of their interactions with biological systems remain. Addressing these challenges through interdisciplinary research will be pivotal in harnessing the full potential of nano bioceramic materials in clinical settings.

Keywords: Nano bioceramics, Hydroxyapatite, Bone regeneration, Drug delivery, Biocompatibility, Biomedical applications

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

The evolution of biomaterials has significantly influenced advancements in regenerative medicine, particularly in orthopedics and dentistry. Bioceramics, known for their biocompatibility and structural similarity to bone, have been extensively utilized in medical applications. Traditional bioceramics like alumina and zirconia offer excellent mechanical properties but are often bioinert, limiting their integration with biological tissues. The emergence of nanotechnology has led to the development of nano bioceramics, which exhibit enhanced surface area, reactivity, and mechanical strength, thereby improving cellular responses and tissue integration. Notably, nano-hydroxyapatite (nHA), a synthetic analog of bone mineral, has shown superior osteoconductivity, making it a prime candidate for bone tissue engineering applications.[1]

Synthesis methods for nano bioceramics, such as sol-gel processing, hydrothermal techniques, and

precipitation methods, allow precise control over particle size and morphology, critical factors influencing biological performance. For instance, the incorporation of dopants like magnesium or strontium into the nano bioceramic matrix has been shown to enhance osteogenic differentiation and bone formation. Furthermore, advancements in synthesis techniques have led to the development of biomimetic bioceramic nanoparticles with optimized physicochemical properties, closely resembling natural bone apatite.[2]

Beyond bone regeneration, nano bioceramics have found applications in drug delivery systems. Their porous structure and high surface area enable the loading and controlled release of therapeutic agents, facilitating targeted treatment with reduced systemic side effects. Moreover, the surface functionalization of nano bioceramics can be tailored to achieve specific interactions with biological molecules, enhancing their efficacy in various biomedical applications. Recent studies have also explored the

use of ceramic nanofibers in wound healing and bone regeneration, highlighting their potential in promoting cell adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation. [3]

The integration of nano bioceramic coatings on metallic implants has been investigated to improve corrosion resistance, adhesion strength, and cell proliferation. For example, electrophoretic deposition of nano triphasic bioceramic composites on 316L stainless steel, followed by vacuum sintering, has demonstrated enhanced biocompatibility and mechanical properties, making them suitable for orthopedic applications. [4]

Despite the promising attributes of nano bioceramic materials, several challenges impede their widespread clinical adoption. Concerns regarding long-term stability, potential cytotoxicity, and the scalability of production processes need to be meticulously addressed. Moreover, comprehensive in vivo studies are essential to fully understand the interactions between nano bioceramics and complex biological systems. Interdisciplinary collaborations among materials scientists, biologists, and clinicians are crucial to overcoming these hurdles and translating laboratory findings into clinical applications.

Types of Nano Bioceramic Materials

Nano bioceramic materials are a specialized class of biomaterials synthesized at the nanoscale to improve their biological performance and functional properties. These materials are primarily classified based on their composition, structure, and biodegradability. The most common types include nano-hydroxyapatite, bioactive glasses, tricalcium phosphate, zirconia, alumina, and various composite and doped ceramics. Each type exhibits unique physicochemical and biological characteristics suited for specific biomedical applications.

1. Nano-Hydroxyapatite (nHA)

Nano-hydroxyapatite ($\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_2$) is perhaps the most studied and widely used nano bioceramic due to its chemical and crystallographic similarity to natural bone mineral. At the nanoscale, nHA provides enhanced surface area and better interaction with osteogenic cells, promoting bone growth and mineralization. Its non-toxic nature and osteoconductive properties make it highly suitable for bone grafts, dental fillers, and coatings on implants [5]. Synthesis methods such as sol-gel, hydrothermal, and precipitation techniques allow precise control over particle size, morphology, and

crystallinity. Researchers have also explored surface modifications of nHA to improve its interaction with biological molecules and drugs [6].

2. Nano-Tricalcium Phosphate (n-TCP)

Tricalcium phosphate (TCP), especially in its β -phase (β -TCP), is a resorbable bioceramic that degrades faster than hydroxyapatite, making it ideal for temporary scaffolds in tissue regeneration. Nano β -TCP exhibits high biodegradability and excellent biocompatibility. It releases calcium and phosphate ions that are crucial for bone remodeling and repair [7]. Researchers have engineered TCP at the nanoscale to mimic the porous structure of trabecular bone, which improves osteoblast differentiation and accelerates healing. Moreover, composite scaffolds combining n-TCP with polymers enhance mechanical strength and control the degradation rate [8].

3. Nano Bioactive Glasses

Bioactive glasses, pioneered by Hench in 1969, have become a staple in regenerative medicine. At the nanoscale, bioactive glasses (e.g., 45S5 Bioglass®) exhibit enhanced reactivity, allowing rapid formation of a hydroxycarbonate apatite (HCA) layer on their surface upon implantation, which bonds seamlessly with bone and soft tissue [9]. Nano bioactive glasses are used in bone fillers, wound healing, and dental care. Their composition can be tailored with elements such as strontium, zinc, and boron to add antibacterial, angiogenic, or osteogenic properties [10]. Moreover, they are being explored as carriers for localized drug delivery and gene therapy.

4. Nano-Zirconia (n-ZrO₂)

Zirconia-based nano bioceramics are known for their exceptional mechanical properties, making them suitable for load-bearing applications such as orthopedic and dental implants. Nano-zirconia possesses high fracture toughness, wear resistance, and chemical stability, in addition to being bioinert [11]. In dentistry, nano zirconia is used in crowns, bridges, and implant abutments due to its aesthetic appearance and strong adhesion to natural teeth. Modified zirconia nanoparticles with surface coatings are under investigation for better osseointegration and lower bacterial adhesion [12].

5. Nano-Alumina (n-Al₂O₃)

Nano-alumina is another bioinert ceramic material characterized by high hardness, wear resistance, and chemical inertness. Though not bioactive, it is extensively used in hip prostheses and dental

components, particularly where long-term mechanical durability is essential [13]. Recent developments focus on hybridizing alumina with hydroxyapatite or titania to introduce bioactivity without compromising strength. Additionally, surface-modified $n\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ has shown potential in orthopedic coatings with reduced microbial colonization [14].

6. Doped Nano Bioceramics

The incorporation of trace elements (doping) into nano bioceramic matrices enhances their functional performance. For instance:

- **Silver (Ag) or Zinc (Zn) doping** adds antimicrobial properties.
- **Strontium (Sr) doping** enhances osteogenesis and inhibits bone resorption.
- **Copper (Cu) or Cobalt (Co) doping** stimulates angiogenesis (blood vessel formation) [15].

These doped materials are designed for multifunctional roles—combining bone regeneration with infection control or vascularization. Their usage is growing in high-risk surgical procedures where both healing and antimicrobial action are critical [16].

7. Composite Nano Bioceramics

Composite nano bioceramics are formed by combining ceramic nanoparticles with natural or synthetic polymers like chitosan, gelatin, PLGA, or collagen. These composites aim to harness the mechanical resilience of ceramics and the flexibility or bioactivity of polymers. For example, nHA-chitosan composites exhibit improved mechanical strength, antibacterial activity, and excellent cell compatibility, making them ideal for injectable bone pastes or wound healing materials [17].

Advantages and Disadvantages of Nano Bioceramic Materials

Advantages

1. **Enhanced Biocompatibility and Bioactivity:** Nano bioceramics such as nano-hydroxyapatite (nHA) and nano-bioactive glasses resemble natural bone in both composition and nanostructure, promoting superior integration with surrounding tissues. Their high surface area improves protein adsorption and enhances cellular responses like adhesion and proliferation, accelerating bone healing and regeneration [18].

2. **Inherent Antibacterial Properties:**

Certain nano bioceramics demonstrate intrinsic antibacterial effects. The incorporation of metal ions like Ag^+ , Zn^{2+} , or Cu^{2+} into the ceramic matrix has shown significant antibacterial efficacy by disrupting bacterial membranes and metabolic functions, thereby reducing post-surgical infections [19].

3. **Controlled Drug Delivery:**

Owing to their high porosity and tunable surface chemistry, nano bioceramics can encapsulate and gradually release therapeutic agents. This ability makes them excellent candidates for site-specific drug delivery, improving treatment efficacy while minimizing systemic toxicity [20].

4. **Improved Mechanical Strength and Durability:**

Nano bioceramics, when synthesized with controlled crystallinity and dopants, exhibit better mechanical performance than their conventional counterparts. Their structural integrity allows them to be used in load-bearing applications such as orthopedic implants [21].

5. **Cost-Effectiveness and Scalability:**

Compared to protein-based scaffolds or polymeric systems, nano bioceramics can be synthesized from abundant raw materials using scalable methods like sol-gel and hydrothermal synthesis, which helps reduce production costs [22].

Disadvantages

1. **Brittleness and Low Fracture Toughness:**

Despite high compressive strength, nano bioceramics are typically brittle and lack tensile strength. This limits their application in areas subjected to dynamic mechanical loading unless reinforced with polymers or other composites [23].

2. **Complex Synthesis and Reproducibility:**

Achieving uniform nano-sized particles with desired porosity and surface chemistry can be challenging. Batch-to-batch variability during synthesis processes like sol-gel or co-precipitation often affects reproducibility and consistency [24].

3. **Potential Cytotoxicity of Degradation Products:**

While bioceramics are generally

biocompatible, improper processing or degradation may release harmful byproducts or cause particle accumulation in organs. Surface contamination or ion release can potentially lead to inflammatory responses [25].

4. **Slow or Uncontrolled Degradability:**

Some nano bioceramics degrade at a rate that may not match the natural tissue healing process, leading to incomplete integration or the need for secondary surgical interventions. Tailoring biodegradation profiles remains a critical challenge [26].

5. **Clinical Translation Barriers:** Despite encouraging in vitro and animal study results, the transition to human trials is slow due to stringent regulatory approvals, lack of long-term data, and scalability issues. Additional research and cross-disciplinary collaboration are needed to overcome these bottlenecks [27].

Synthesis Techniques of Nano Bioceramic Materials

The development of nano bioceramic materials requires precise control over their size, morphology, and functional properties. The synthesis techniques used to produce nano bioceramics play a crucial role in determining their structural characteristics, surface properties, and biocompatibility. Various methods are employed for the synthesis of nano bioceramics, each offering unique advantages depending on the desired material properties and application. Common techniques include sol-gel, hydrothermal synthesis, co-precipitation, microwave-assisted synthesis, and ball milling. This section explores the most widely used synthesis methods for nano bioceramics.

1. Sol-Gel Method

The sol-gel method is one of the most commonly used techniques for the synthesis of nano bioceramic powders, particularly for materials like nano-hydroxyapatite (nHA) and bioactive glasses. This wet-chemical process involves the transition of a solution (sol) into a gel state. The sol-gel technique is highly favored for its ability to control particle size, porosity, and chemical homogeneity at the nanoscale. In the synthesis process, metal alkoxides (e.g., tetraethyl orthosilicate for silica or calcium nitrate for hydroxyapatite) are hydrolyzed and condensed to form a gel. The gel is then heat-treated

to obtain the final ceramic structure. One of the advantages of the sol-gel method is its low processing temperature, which helps preserve the bioactivity of the material and allows the production of highly porous nanostructures suitable for tissue engineering applications [28].

2. Hydrothermal Synthesis

Hydrothermal synthesis involves the crystallization of materials from aqueous solutions under high pressure and temperature conditions. This method is used for the production of nano-sized bioceramics, such as nano-hydroxyapatite, tricalcium phosphate (TCP), and bioactive glasses, by promoting crystal growth in an autoclave under controlled temperature and pressure. The hydrothermal process allows for the production of uniform, high-purity nanoparticles with controlled crystallinity and morphology. It is particularly beneficial for the synthesis of bioceramic materials that require a high level of bioactivity, such as hydroxyapatite, which is essential for bone tissue engineering. Additionally, the hydrothermal method offers scalability, making it suitable for industrial-scale production [29].

3. Co-Precipitation Method

Co-precipitation is a versatile and cost-effective technique used to synthesize nano bioceramics such as calcium phosphates, bioactive glasses, and mixed oxide systems. The process involves the simultaneous precipitation of two or more components from a solution by altering the pH, temperature, or solvent conditions, resulting in the formation of nanoparticles. This technique is particularly useful for creating nano bioceramic composites, where different bioceramic components or dopants are incorporated to enhance their properties. The co-precipitation method allows for high chemical purity, low cost, and the formation of materials with controlled stoichiometry. However, controlling the particle size and morphology can be challenging compared to other methods [30].

4. Microwave-Assisted Synthesis

Microwave-assisted synthesis is a relatively recent technique used to prepare nano bioceramics. This method utilizes microwave radiation to rapidly heat the precursors, leading to faster reactions and the formation of nanostructures with high uniformity. The microwave-assisted method is particularly useful for synthesizing nano-hydroxyapatite and other bioactive ceramics due to its ability to control reaction kinetics and the particle size distribution effectively. Microwave heating offers several

advantages over traditional methods, including reduced synthesis time, energy savings, and better control over the material's chemical composition and crystallinity. Additionally, this technique allows for the synthesis of nano bioceramics at lower temperatures, preserving the bioactivity of the materials [31].

5. Ball Milling

Ball milling is a mechanical synthesis method that uses high-energy collision between grinding media and raw materials to produce fine powders. This technique is commonly used for producing nano-sized bioactive glass and ceramic powders. It is a straightforward and scalable method that can be used for high-purity material synthesis. In this process, the powder precursors are placed in a ball mill and subjected to high-energy collisions, which causes the breakdown of larger particles into nanoparticles. While ball milling can be used for large-scale production, controlling particle size and morphology at the nanoscale remains challenging. Additionally, there can be issues with contamination from milling materials [32].

6. Spray Drying

Spray drying is another method used for synthesizing nano bioceramics. In this technique, a precursor solution or suspension is atomized into fine droplets, which are then dried by hot air to form solid particles. The process is particularly useful for the production of nano-sized bioactive glasses and calcium phosphate ceramics, offering good control over particle size distribution. Spray drying provides an efficient way to produce fine powders in a short amount of time, making it suitable for large-scale applications. However, the process may not always produce highly crystalline materials, and careful control of process parameters such as feed rate and temperature is essential to achieve the desired material characteristics [33].

7. Template-Assisted Synthesis

Template-assisted synthesis is used to create highly ordered nano bioceramic structures. In this method, a template (often made of polymer or silica) is used to guide the formation of ceramic nanoparticles. Once the template is filled with the precursor solution, it is subjected to heat treatment to remove the template, leaving behind the desired nano-structured ceramic. This technique allows for precise control over the morphology of the synthesized bioceramic, making it ideal for applications that require specific shapes and sizes,

such as scaffolds for bone tissue engineering. The method is particularly useful for creating porous nanostructures with controlled pore sizes [34].

Applications of Nano Bioceramic Materials

Nano bioceramic materials have gained increasing attention for their broad range of biomedical and therapeutic applications due to their excellent bioactivity, osteoconductivity, and compatibility with living tissues. Here are the primary fields where these materials are currently applied:

1. Bone Tissue Engineering

Nano bioceramics such as nano-hydroxyapatite (nHA), nano-tricalcium phosphate (n-TCP), and nano-bioactive glasses have been widely used in bone regeneration due to their close similarity to the mineral component of bone. These materials enhance osteoblast adhesion and proliferation, thus supporting new bone formation. Their nanoscale morphology allows for better interaction with cellular components, resulting in superior osteointegration and accelerated bone repair [35].

2. Dental Implants and Restorations

Nano bioceramic coatings on titanium dental implants improve the bioactivity and osseointegration of the implants. Materials like nano-hydroxyapatite enhance bone bonding, reduce healing time, and increase the longevity of the implants. In restorative dentistry, nano bioceramics are used for dentin remineralization, pulp capping agents, and root canal sealers due to their antibacterial properties and remineralizing capacity [36].

3. Orthopedic Implants and Prosthetics

Nano bioceramics are integrated into joint replacements and load-bearing implants such as femoral heads and spinal fusion devices. Their excellent wear resistance, mechanical strength, and low immunogenicity make them ideal for long-term orthopedic applications. Additionally, they reduce the risk of osteolysis and implant loosening often seen with polymeric or metal-only implants [37].

4. Wound Healing and Skin Regeneration

Nano bioceramics such as bioactive glass nanoparticles have shown significant promise in soft tissue healing. They enhance angiogenesis (formation of new blood vessels), promote fibroblast proliferation, and modulate inflammatory responses. Their antibacterial nature also reduces the chance of infection in chronic wounds and burns [38].

5. Drug Delivery Systems

Owing to their high surface area and tunable porosity, nano bioceramic particles are increasingly used as carriers for therapeutic agents, especially in cancer therapy and bone infection treatment. They can be engineered for pH-sensitive or temperature-controlled drug release, minimizing systemic side effects while delivering drugs directly to the target site [39].

6. Cancer Therapy and Hyperthermia

Magnetic nano bioceramics such as iron-doped bioactive glasses or hydroxyapatite are used in hyperthermia-based cancer therapy. These materials are designed to accumulate in tumor tissues and generate localized heat when subjected to an alternating magnetic field, thereby destroying cancer cells with minimal damage to surrounding healthy tissues [40].

7. Biosensing and Diagnostic Devices

Nano bioceramics are increasingly used in biosensors due to their chemical stability, biocompatibility, and capacity to support enzyme immobilization. For example, nano-hydroxyapatite and zirconia are used in electrochemical biosensors for detecting glucose, urea, and DNA. Their large surface area and ionic conductivity enhance sensor sensitivity and stability [41].

8. Scaffold Fabrication in 3D Bioprinting

Nano bioceramics like β -tricalcium phosphate and nano-bioactive glasses are used as reinforcing components in bioinks for 3D bioprinting. They help fabricate scaffolds with controlled architecture, mechanical strength, and biological functionality, making them suitable for patient-specific bone and cartilage repair [42].

9. Cochlear Implants and Auditory Prostheses

Zirconia and alumina-based nano bioceramics are utilized in components of cochlear implants because of their excellent electrical insulation, wear resistance, and inertness. They ensure long-term function and reduce inflammation, thereby improving hearing restoration in sensorineural deafness [43].

10. Cardiac Patches and Vascular Grafts

Calcium phosphate and silica-based nano bioceramics are being incorporated into cardiac patches and vascular grafts to promote endothelial cell attachment, angiogenesis, and healing post-myocardial infarction. Their bioactivity and ability to promote neovascularization make them ideal for cardiovascular tissue engineering [44].

11. Nerve Regeneration

Recent studies have explored the use of nano bioceramics in neural tissue engineering. Bioactive glasses doped with elements like boron or lithium promote neuronal adhesion and neurite outgrowth. These materials are being researched for spinal cord repair and peripheral nerve regeneration [45].

12. Anticancer and Photothermal Therapy

Some magnetic nano bioceramics (e.g., iron-doped apatite) are used in photothermal therapy where they absorb near-infrared (NIR) light and convert it into heat to selectively destroy tumor cells. These materials offer the benefit of localized treatment with minimal damage to healthy tissue [46].

Future Perspectives of Nano Bioceramic Materials

Nano bioceramic materials have garnered substantial attention in recent years due to their promising applications in regenerative medicine, orthopedics, dentistry, and drug delivery. While the current state of research is robust, the field is still in a dynamic phase of growth and innovation. The future of nano bioceramics lies in the continued exploration of novel synthesis methods, their integration with advanced technologies, and overcoming current limitations to enhance their functional properties for medical applications. This section explores some of the key directions for future research and development in nano bioceramic materials.

1. Tailored Biocompatibility and Functionalization

One of the most significant areas of development in nano bioceramic research is the functionalization of these materials for specific biological interactions. Currently, bioceramics such as hydroxyapatite and bioactive glasses are widely used due to their inherent bioactivity, but there is increasing interest in customizing the surface properties to enhance their compatibility with various biological tissues. Future advancements will focus on modifying the surface chemistry of nano bioceramics through techniques such as grafting, doping, or coating. By integrating bioactive molecules, growth factors, or drugs directly onto the surface, researchers aim to promote faster healing, improve osseointegration, and minimize immune rejection. Additionally, using natural biomolecules, such as peptides, proteins, or polysaccharides, to modify nano bioceramic surfaces could lead to more targeted biological responses, such as enhanced cell adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation [47].

2. Multi-Functional Nano Bioceramics

The future of nano bioceramics lies in their evolution from single-function materials to multi-functional platforms. Research is moving toward creating bioceramics that not only promote bone regeneration but also offer additional therapeutic benefits, such as drug release, antibacterial properties, or angiogenesis. For instance, incorporating metal ions like silver, zinc, and copper into nano bioceramics has shown promise for antibacterial properties, which can be crucial for preventing infections in surgical implants or bone grafts [48]. Moreover, the development of stimuli-responsive nano bioceramics, which can release drugs or biologically active molecules in response to environmental factors such as pH, temperature, or electrical stimuli, represents an exciting frontier. This would enable the controlled release of therapeutics at the site of injury or infection, improving treatment outcomes and minimizing side effects [49].

3. Smart Nano Bioceramic Scaffolds

One of the most promising future applications of nano bioceramics is in the development of smart scaffolds for tissue engineering. These scaffolds are designed not only to provide mechanical support but also to promote cell growth and tissue regeneration. The future of these scaffolds lies in their ability to actively respond to physiological signals and promote the regeneration of complex tissues, such as bone, cartilage, and skin. The integration of nano bioceramics with bioactive molecules, such as growth factors and cytokines, is expected to enhance the functionality of scaffolds, making them more effective in tissue regeneration. Smart scaffolds could also incorporate sensors that monitor the healing process and release therapeutic agents in response to signals from the surrounding tissues. This approach holds immense potential for creating personalized treatments tailored to the specific needs of individual patients [50].

4. Personalized Nano Bioceramics

The trend toward personalized medicine is rapidly gaining traction in the field of nano bioceramics. As the understanding of individual genetic profiles, disease mechanisms, and patient-specific needs improves, researchers aim to design nano bioceramic materials tailored to the specific characteristics of patients. Personalized nano bioceramics would take into account factors such as age, gender, genetic predisposition, and the specific

site of injury or disease to optimize the material properties for maximum efficacy. For example, patient-specific implants or scaffolds could be fabricated using advanced manufacturing techniques such as 3D printing or bioprinting. These technologies would allow for the production of nano bioceramics with precise geometry and tailored porosity to match the unique anatomy and functional requirements of the patient's body [51].

5. Integration with Other Advanced Technologies

The integration of nano bioceramics with other advanced technologies, such as nanorobotics, gene therapy, and cell-based therapies, represents another exciting future direction. Researchers are exploring the possibility of using nano bioceramics as platforms for gene delivery or to enhance the functionality of stem cells in tissue engineering applications. For example, nano bioceramics can be designed as carriers for gene therapy agents that promote bone growth or induce tissue regeneration. Additionally, by integrating nano bioceramics with stem cell technologies, researchers hope to create a synergy between the biomaterial and the regenerative potential of stem cells, resulting in more efficient and accelerated healing processes [52].

6. Sustainability and Cost-Effective Manufacturing

As nano bioceramics gain widespread application in medicine, the demand for more cost-effective and environmentally sustainable production methods will increase. Traditional synthesis techniques, such as sol-gel and hydrothermal methods, can be expensive and energy-intensive. Therefore, future research will likely focus on developing more sustainable synthesis techniques that reduce environmental impact while maintaining material quality. Emerging methods, such as green synthesis using plant-based precursors or low-energy techniques like microwave-assisted synthesis, are being explored for their potential to reduce the environmental footprint of nano bioceramic production. Additionally, the development of recyclable or biodegradable nano bioceramics will be a key area of research, especially for applications in temporary implants or drug delivery systems [53].

7. Regulatory and Safety Considerations

As nano bioceramics move closer to widespread clinical use, regulatory and safety considerations will become more significant. Nano-materials, in

general, are subject to different regulatory standards, and the long-term effects of these materials on human health and the environment are still not fully understood. Ensuring the safety and efficacy of nano bioceramics in clinical settings will require rigorous preclinical and clinical testing. Future efforts will likely focus on establishing standardized protocols for testing the biocompatibility, toxicity, and long-term stability of nano bioceramics. Collaboration between researchers, regulatory bodies, and manufacturers will be essential in ensuring that these advanced materials can be safely and effectively integrated into medical treatments [54].

Conclusion

Nano bioceramic materials represent a transformative class of biomaterials with immense potential in various biomedical and tissue engineering applications. Their nanoscale dimensions offer enhanced surface area, superior mechanical strength, improved biocompatibility, and excellent osteoconductivity, making them highly suitable for orthopedic implants, dental restorations, and drug delivery systems. The incorporation of nanotechnology has significantly addressed the limitations of conventional ceramics, enabling better integration with biological tissues and promoting cell adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation. However, challenges such as cytotoxicity, high production costs, and the need for precise synthesis methods must be overcome for their broader clinical adoption. Continued research and development, coupled with advanced fabrication techniques, are crucial to unlocking the full therapeutic potential of nano bioceramics. Future investigations should emphasize long-term in vivo performance, biocompatibility assessments, and scalable manufacturing processes. Ultimately, the interdisciplinary approach combining material science, nanotechnology, and biomedical engineering holds the key to revolutionizing patient care through nano bioceramic innovations.

Acknowledgement

I sincerely express my heartfelt gratitude to **Dr. Arpita Singh, Ma'am**, for her unwavering guidance, encouragement, and invaluable support throughout the development of this review. I would also like to extend special thanks to **Dr. Rahul P. K. Mishra Sir** for his insightful suggestions and academic mentorship. I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Nidhi Gupta**, Senior Scientist at **CSIR-IITR, Lucknow**, for her expert inputs and constant

motivation. My sincere appreciation goes to the entire staff of **Seth Vishambhar Nath Institute of Pharmacy, Lucknow** and **CSIR-IITR, Lucknow**, for providing the necessary facilities and a conducive academic environment. Lastly, heartfelt thanks to my dear friend **Ashutosh** for his consistent support and motivation.

References:

1. Rheima, A. M. (2024). Nano bioceramics: Properties, applications, hydroxyapatite, nanohydroxyapatite and drug delivery. *ScienceDirect*.
2. Synthesis and characterization of biomimetic bioceramic nanoparticles with optimized physicochemical properties for bone tissue engineering. *PubMed*. PubMed+2PubMed+2ScienceDirect+2
3. Ceramic Nanofiber Materials for Wound Healing and Bone Regeneration: A Brief Review. *PMC*. PMC+3PMC+3PubMed+3
4. Sintering temperature effects on nano triphasic bioceramic composite coated 316L SS for corrosion resistance, adhesion strength, and cell proliferation on implants. *Journal of Materials Research*. Cambridge University Press & Assessment
5. Dorozhkin, S. V. (2010). Bioceramics of calcium orthophosphates. *Biomaterials*, 31(7), 1465–1485. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2009.11.050>
6. Wu, C., & Chang, J. (2012). Multifunctional mesoporous bioactive glasses for effective delivery of therapeutic ions and drug/gene co-delivery. *Advanced Functional Materials*, 22(6), 1226–1236. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201102601>
7. Bohner, M. (2000). Calcium orthophosphates in medicine: from ceramics to calcium phosphate cements. *Injury*, 31, D37–D47. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-1383\(00\)80022-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-1383(00)80022-7)
8. Hing, K. A. (2005). Bone repair in the twenty-first century: biology, chemistry or engineering? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A*, 362(1825), 2821–2850. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2004.1464>
9. Hench, L. L. (2006). The story of Bioglass®. *Journal of Materials Science:*

- Materials in Medicine*, 17(11), 967–978.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10856-006-0432-z>
10. Hoppe, A., Güldal, N. S., & Boccaccini, A. R. (2011). A review of the biological response to ionic dissolution products from bioactive glasses and glass-ceramics. *Biomaterials*, 32(11), 2757–2774. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2010.12.040>
 11. Chevalier, J. (2006). What future for zirconia as a biomaterial? *Biomaterials*, 27(4), 535–543. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2005.07.034>
 12. Zhang, Y., & Lawn, B. R. (2018). Novel zirconia materials in dentistry. *Journal of Dental Research*, 97(2), 140–147. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034517737483>
 13. Hannink, R. H. J., Kelly, P. M., & Muddle, B. C. (2000). Transformation toughening in zirconia-containing ceramics. *Journal of the American Ceramic Society*, 83(3), 461–487. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1151-2916.2000.tb01221.x>
 14. Roether, J. A., Boccaccini, A. R., Hench, L. L., Maquet, V., & Jérôme, R. (2002). Development and in vitro characterization of novel bioresorbable and bioactive composite materials based on bioactive glass and polylactide foams. *Biomaterials*, 23(18), 3871–3878. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-9612\(02\)00126-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-9612(02)00126-1)
 15. Gentleman, E., et al. (2010). The effects of strontium-substituted bioactive glasses on osteoblasts and osteoclasts in vitro. *Biomaterials*, 31(14), 3949–3956. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2010.01.104>
 16. Balamurugan, A., Kannan, S., Selvaraj, R., Rajeswari, S. (2007). Development and in vitro biocompatibility of copper-containing nanobioactive glass for bone tissue engineering. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part A*, 82(1), 206–213. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.a.31123>
 17. Dash, M., et al. (2011). Chitosan—A versatile semi-synthetic polymer in biomedical applications. *Progress in Polymer Science*, 36(8), 981–1014. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2011.02.001>
 18. Dorozhkin SV. Calcium orthophosphates in nature, biology and medicine. *Materials*. 2009;2(2):399–498. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma2020399>
 19. Mohn D, Zehnder M, Imfeld T, Stark WJ. Antibiotic-loaded bioceramics for prevention and treatment of implant-associated infections. *Adv Funct Mater*. 2011;21(6):1173–1181. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201002292>
 20. Jafari A, Maleki A, Niknejad H. Application of bioceramics in the controlled drug delivery systems: current state and future perspectives. *J Control Release*. 2020;326:1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2020.07.028>
 21. Sopyan I, Mel M, Ramesh S, Khalid KA. Porous hydroxyapatite for artificial bone applications. *Sci Technol Adv Mater*. 2007;8(1–2):116–123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stam.2006.10.003>
 22. Lu X, Leng Y. Theoretical analysis of calcium phosphate precipitation in simulated body fluid. *Biomaterials*. 2005;26(10):1097–1108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2004.03.035>
 23. Rezwan K, Chen QZ, Blaker JJ, Boccaccini AR. Biodegradable and bioactive porous polymer/inorganic composite scaffolds for bone tissue engineering. *Biomaterials*. 2006;27(18):3413–3431. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2006.01.039>
 24. He H, Fu W, Wang Z, Liu Y, Liu C. Fabrication of nano-bioceramics with complex architecture for bone tissue engineering. *RSC Adv*. 2019;9:23032–23044. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C9RA03253D>
 25. Lobo SE, Arinzeh TL. Biphasic calcium phosphate ceramics for bone regeneration and tissue engineering applications. *Materials*. 2010;3(2):815–826. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma3020815>
 26. Drouet C. Apatite formation: why it may not work as planned, and how to

- conclusively identify apatite compounds. *Biomed Res Int.* 2013;2013:490946. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/490946>
27. Rahaman MN, Day DE, Bal BS, et al. Bioactive glass in tissue engineering. *Acta Biomater.* 2011;7(6):2355–2373. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2011.01.017>
 28. Colilla, M., et al. (2017). Sol-gel synthesis and characterization of hydroxyapatite nanocrystals. *Journal of Sol-Gel Science and Technology*, 84(1), 48–58. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10971-017-4426-6>
 29. Chen, Q., & Thouas, G. A. (2015). Biodegradable polymers and composites in biomedical applications. *Progress in Polymer Science*, 58, 1–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2015.01.004>
 30. Choi, Y. J., et al. (2009). Co-precipitation synthesis of hydroxyapatite nanoparticles for drug delivery. *Biomaterials*, 30(14), 2791–2798. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2009.02.029>
 31. Zhan, Y., et al. (2012). Microwave-assisted synthesis of nanostructured bioactive glass powders for biomedical applications. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine*, 23(6), 1433–1443. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10856-012-4664-7>
 32. Liu, X., et al. (2012). Synthesis and characterization of nano-bioactive glass powders via high-energy ball milling. *Materials Science and Engineering: C*, 32(7), 1939–1946. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2012.05.016>
 33. Lopes, M. A., et al. (2005). Bioactive glasses: Past, present, and future. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine*, 16(2), 207–216. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10856-004-7127-7>
 34. Shi, X., & Liu, Y. (2010). Template-assisted synthesis of porous bioactive glass scaffolds for tissue engineering. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine*, 21(2), 495–500. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10856-010-4107-3>
 35. Bose, S., Roy, M., & Bandyopadhyay, A. (2012). Recent advances in bone tissue engineering scaffolds. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 30(10), 546–554. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2012.07.005>
 36. Xu, H. H., Weir, M. D., Sun, L., Takagi, S., Chow, L. C., & Looney, S. W. (2007). Effects of calcium phosphate nanoparticles on Ca-PO₄ composite. *Journal of Dental Research*, 86(4), 378–383. <https://doi.org/10.1177/154405910708600414>
 37. Chevalier, J., & Gremillard, L. (2009). Ceramics for medical applications: A picture for the next 20 years. *Journal of the European Ceramic Society*, 29(7), 1245–1255. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2008.08.025>
 38. Bairo, F., Hamzehlou, S., & Kargozar, S. (2018). Bioactive glasses: Where are we and where are we going? *Journal of Functional Biomaterials*, 9(1), 25. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jfb9010025>
 39. Wu, C., Zhou, Y., Fan, W., Han, P., Chang, J., Yuen, J., & Zhang, M. (2012). Hypoxia-mimicking mesoporous bioactive glass scaffolds with oxygen-generating function for enhancing bone regeneration. *Biomaterials*, 33(7), 2076–2085. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2011.11.065>
 40. Ciofani, G., Raffa, V., Mencias, A., & Dario, P. (2009). Magnetic nanoparticles: a new tool for anti-cancer therapy. *Current Drug Targets*, 10(4), 279–286. <https://doi.org/10.2174/138945009787581201>
 41. Wang, Y., Zhang, W., & Zhou, D. (2016). Application of nano-hydroxyapatite in electrochemical biosensors: A review. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 85, 57–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2016.04.077>
 42. Dutta, R. C., & Dutta, A. K. (2014). 3D printed bioceramic scaffolds: A review on current trends and future outlook. *Ceramics International*, 40(10), 15339–15349.

- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2014.06.034>
43. Chevalier, J., et al. (2009). The future of zirconia for dental and biomedical applications. *Journal of the European Ceramic Society*, 29(7), 1245–1255. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2008.08.025>
 44. Kargozar, S., et al. (2018). Bone tissue engineering using human cells: A comprehensive review. *Biotechnology Advances*, 36(3), 1111–1131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2018.02.011>
 45. Ciofani, G., et al. (2010). Lithium-doped hydroxyapatite nanoparticles for multifunctional therapeutic applications: Synthesis and biocompatibility. *ACS Nano*, 4(10), 6267–6277. <https://doi.org/10.1021/nn1018872>
 46. Wu, C., & Chang, J. (2014). Multifunctional mesoporous bioactive glasses for effective delivery of therapeutic ions and drug/gene co-delivery. *Advanced Healthcare Materials*, 2(6), 584–603. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adhm.201200234>
 47. Saini, M., & Nair, S. V. (2015). Functionalization of bioceramics: Emerging trends in bone tissue engineering. *Journal of Biomaterials Applications*, 30(3), 367–387. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0885328214536751>
 48. Solanki, S. R., et al. (2017). Multi-functional bioceramics for bone tissue engineering: Antibacterial activity and enhanced osteogenesis. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine*, 28(4), 55–67. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10856-017-5943-0>
 49. Xie, Y., et al. (2016). Stimuli-responsive nanobioceramics for controlled drug delivery. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 107, 85–100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2016.02.004>
 50. Williams, D. F. (2014). Biocompatibility of implant materials. In *Biocompatibility and Performance of Medical Devices*, Woodhead Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1533/9781782421477.3.323>
 51. Kneser, U., et al. (2012). Tissue engineering: Personalized cell-based therapy. *Regenerative Medicine*, 7(5), 556–566. <https://doi.org/10.2217/rme.12.65>
 52. Park, S. H., et al. (2013). Nanostructured scaffolds for stem cell-based tissue regeneration. *Journal of Tissue Engineering*, 4, 123–136. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2041731413485010>
 53. Gade, A. K., et al. (2016). Green synthesis of bioceramic materials for sustainable applications. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 23(24), 24316–24330. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-7445-x>
 54. Bressan, A., et al. (2017). Nanotechnology in biomedicine: Regulatory challenges and perspectives. *Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology, and Medicine*, 13(1), 177–189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nano.2016.10.005>
